

3 January 2019

Australian Securities Exchange  
Level 40, Central Park  
152 – 158 St George's Terrace  
Perth WA 6000

*By electronic lodgement*

Dear Sir/ Madam,

**BLUE BILLY AND JIMBERLANA UPDATE - ADDENDUM**

Further to the Company's announcement dated 2 January 2019 entitled "*Blue Billy and Jimberlana Update*", please find attached an addendum to that announcement detailing the information requirements under Listing Rules 5.7.1 and 5.7.2.

The full announcement, including the addendum, is noted below.

Yours sincerely,

Henko Vos  
**Company Secretary**

January 2, 2019  
ASX Release



## **BLUE BILLY AND JIMBERLANA UPDATE**

AusQuest Limited (ASX: AQD) advises that both the Blue Billy Zinc and Jimberlana Nickel Projects will not be progressing into 2019 under the Strategic Alliance Agreement (SAA) with South32. Drill testing of targets identified by the Company at both prospects has not provided sufficient encouragement to justify ongoing exploration under the SAA.

At Blue Billy, wide-spaced RC drilling (1 to 2km drill spacing) intersected thick black mudrocks in all thirteen drill-holes but failed to provide significant improvement in zinc grade and/or thickness when compared with results from the initial diamond drilling program reported to the ASX in November 2018.

Assay results defined the main target horizon, but only elevated zinc values (up to 5000ppm Zn) and the relatively constant thicknesses of the target horizon (~100 to 150m) did not support the presence of nearby growth faults and sub-basin development which are considered to be critical in defining highly prospective terrains for sediment hosted zinc mineralisation.

At Jimberlana, two diamond drill-holes (716m) completed to test EM targets within the Jimberlana dyke, intersected gabbroic rocks in one hole and pyroxenitic rocks in the other, but failed to intersect massive sulphide mineralisation or an obvious cause of the EM response. DHEM surveys which were subsequently completed to search for near-miss situations, failed to identify any conductors of interest effectively downgrading the prospect.

AusQuest Managing Director Graeme Drew said that whilst disappointed with the results from Blue Billy and Jimberlana, the Company's drill results in other areas, particularly in Peru, had been much more encouraging and he was confident that further drilling of these prospects and several new drilling opportunities would be part of the Company's 2019 program.

Graeme Drew  
**Managing Director**

### **COMPETENT PERSON'S STATEMENT**

*The details contained in this report that pertain to exploration results are based upon information compiled by Mr Graeme Drew, a full-time employee of AusQuest Limited. Mr Drew is a Fellow of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy (AUSIMM) and has sufficient experience in the activity which he is undertaking to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the December 2012 edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves" (JORC Code). Mr Drew consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based upon his information in the form and context in which it appears.*

### **FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENT**

*This report contains forward looking statements concerning the projects owned by AusQuest Limited. Statements concerning mining reserves and resources may also be deemed to be forward looking statements in that they involve estimates based on*

*specific assumptions. Forward-looking statements are not statements of historical fact and actual events and results may differ materially from those described in the forward looking statements as a result of a variety of risks, uncertainties and other factors. Forward looking statements are based on management's beliefs, opinions and estimates as of the dates the forward looking statements are made and no obligation is assumed to update forward looking statements if these beliefs, opinions and estimates should change or to reflect other future developments.*

# JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report, RC Drilling at Blue Billy Project

## Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i></li> <li>• <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i></li> <li>• <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i></li> <li>• <i>In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Samples are collected at 1m intervals and composited at 2m intervals except where geological boundaries and/or mineralization zones are selectively sampled to ensure sample representivity.</li> <li>• Sample depths are determined by counting number of samples and rows as per standard industry practice.</li> <li>• A ~3kg composite sample is collected for representivity.</li> </ul>
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RC Drilling with a face sampling bit has been used with a hole diameter of approximately 132mm.</li> <li>• Down-hole surveys were read at ~ 100m intervals and near bottom of hole.</li> </ul>
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</i></li> <li>• <i>Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Experienced RC drillers and appropriate rig size are used to provide maximum sample recovery.</li> <li>• At this early stage of exploration, it is not known if there is a relationship between sample recovery and assay grade.</li> </ul>
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RC sample chips are logged by experienced geologists to identify key rock types and mineralization styles.</li> <li>• Sample logging is qualitative with visual estimates of mineralization made for later comparison with assay results.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>All samples are logged.</li> </ul>
<b>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></li> <li><i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></li> <li><i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></li> <li><i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></li> <li><i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></li> <li><i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>RC samples are collected every metre using a cone splitter and presented in rows corresponding to sample depth.</li> <li>Assay samples are collected from a cone splitter and composited at 2m intervals to produce a representative sample for assay.</li> <li>Every twentieth sample was either a field duplicate, certified standard or blank for initial quality control purposes.</li> <li>The sample sizes are considered appropriate for the geological materials sampled.</li> </ul>
<b>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></li> <li><i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></li> <li><i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Assaying of the drill samples is by standard industry practice.</li> <li>The samples are sorted and dried. The whole sample is crushed then split by riffle splitter to obtain a representative sub-sample which is then pulverized in a vibrating pulveriser.</li> <li>A portion of the pulverized sample is then digested and refluxed using a four acid digest (Hydrofluoric, Nitric, Hydrochloric and Perchloric) which approximates a total digest for most elements. Some refractory minerals are not completely dissolved.</li> <li>Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectroscopy (ICP-MS) is used to measure Ag, Al, As, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, Ge, Hf, In, La, Li, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Nb, Ni, P, Pb, Rb, Re, S, Sb, Sc, Se, Sn, Sr, Ta, Te, Th, Ti, Tl, U, V, W, Y, Zn, and Zr.</li> <li>Data from the laboratory's internal quality procedures (standards, repeats and blanks) and AusQuest (standards, repeats and blanks) are reviewed to check data quality.</li> <li>Assays are provided by Intertek Genalysis of 15 Davison St, Maddington, WA which is a certified laboratory for mineral analyses. Analytical data is transferred to the company via email and by hard copy.</li> </ul>
<b>Verification of sampling and assaying</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></li> <li><i>The use of twinned holes.</i></li> <li><i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A for this report – No significant intersections reported. Drilling was reconnaissance in nature.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></li> </ul>	
<b>Location of data points</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li>• <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></li> <li>• <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drill hole collars including elevation are located by hand held GPS to an accuracy of approximately 5m.</li> <li>• Down hole surveys are carried out every 100m down hole, and at the end of the hole.</li> <li>• All surface location data are in GDA 94 datum, zone 50S.</li> </ul>
<b>Data spacing and distribution</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drill holes were reconnaissance in nature and designed to assess prospectivity of the target stratigraphy across several interpreted sedimentary sub-basins.</li> </ul>
<b>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></li> <li>• <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any bias due to the orientation of the drilling is unknown at this early stage of exploration.</li> </ul>
<b>Sample security</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Samples are collected into securely tied bags and placed into cable-tied plastic bags for transport to the laboratory. Each sample batch has a sample submission sheet that lists the sample numbers and the work required to be done on each sample.</li> <li>• Reputable freight companies are used to transport samples to the laboratory.</li> <li>• Sample pulps (after assay) are held by the laboratory and returned to the company after 90 days.</li> </ul>
<b>Audits or reviews</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No reviews or audits of the sampling techniques or data have been carried out to date.</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></li> <li>• <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Blue Billy Prospect is centered at 7401000N and 456000E (GDA94 Zone 50), approximately 200 km north east of Gascoyne Junction in Western Australia.</li> <li>• Tenement holdings include two granted Exploration Licences (E08/2754 and E08/2904).</li> <li>• The Blue Billy Prospect was subject to a joint venture agreement whereby South32 could earn 70% by spending US\$4.0M.</li> <li>• Aboriginal heritage surveys are routinely completed ahead of ground disturbing activities.</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Previous exploration drilling completed by Pasminco (1991-96) and Alcoa (1979-82) intersected 20 to 50m of anomalous zinc (0.1 to 0.5%Zn) immediately down dip from surface occurrences of the Blue Billy Formation highlighting the areas prospectivity. Aurora Minerals completed soil sampling and geophysical surveys along the Talga Fault (2008-11) but did not drill any holes.</li> <li>• AusQuest drilled four widely spaced diamond drill holes in 2017 to test prospectivity of the target horizon. Low level Zn results equivalent to those of previous explorers were returned. Details of AQD diamond drilling are provided in ASX release dated 16<sup>th</sup> November 2017.</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Blue Billy project is targeting sediment hosted zinc mineralisation similar to NW Queensland. The Blue Billy Formation black shale horizon within the Edmund Basin in WA is the target horizon.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill hole Information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li>○ <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li>○ <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i></li> <li>○ <i>down hole length and interception depth</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All relevant drill hole data are below.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ hole length.</li> <li>● <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i></li> </ul>	
<i>Data aggregation methods</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></li> <li>● <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></li> <li>● <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● N/A for this report – No aggregation techniques have been used on the data.</li> </ul>
<i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>● <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></li> <li>● <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● N/A for this report – no significant assay results obtained.</li> </ul>
<i>Diagrams</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● N/A for this report – no significant assay results obtained.</li> </ul>
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● N/A for this report – no significant assay results obtained.</li> </ul>
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● N/A for this report – no significant assay results obtained.</li> </ul>
<i>Further work</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></li> <li>● <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● No further drilling is planned at this stage.</li> </ul>



Hole No	Easting	Northing	Inclination	Azimuth	Total Depth
18BBRC001	453190	7400781	90	0	88
18BBRC002	453344	7401361	90	0	250
18BBRC003	453309	7402419	90	0	280
18BBRC004	454848	7401721	90	0	176
18BBRC005	456105	7399405	90	0	250
18BBRC006	455732	7400925	90	0	352
18BBRC007	455210	7399592	90	0	199
18BBRC008	451403	7405412	90	0	304
18BBRC009	451498	7404056	90	0	358
18BBRC010	452120	7402434	90	0	208
18BBRC011	453183	7400783	90	0	40
18BBRC012	454037	7401597	90	0	208
18BBRC013	451770	7402131	90	0	130

# JORC Code, 2012 Edition – Table 1 report, Diamond Drilling at Jemberlana Project

## Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</li> <li>• Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</li> <li>• Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</li> <li>• In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A 15-20cm section of core was sampled every 5-10m and/or where geological variations were observed along the entire length of each hole.</li> <li>• Core was cut in half with half sent for analysis and half retained for geological and quality control purposes</li> <li>• Sample intervals were measured by tape from depth intervals shown on core blocks labeled by the drillers, as per standard industry practice.</li> </ul>
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc).</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Diamond Drilling with an RC pre-collar.</li> <li>• HQ and NQ drill rods used to produce 63.5mm and 47.6mm diameter core respectively. The HQ core starts at the base of the pre-collar and changes to NQ at the appropriate depth depending on drilling conditions.</li> <li>• Down-hole surveys were read at ~ 30m intervals.</li> </ul>
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.</li> <li>• Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.</li> <li>• Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Core recovery was determined by comparing core lengths measured against drilled intervals shown on core blocks and recorded on the logs.</li> <li>• Experienced diamond drillers were engaged to ensure maximum core recovery.</li> <li>• Sample recovery was high, negating any sample bias due to recovery.</li> </ul>
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</li> <li>• Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drill core and sample chips were logged by experienced geologists to identify key rock types, alteration and mineralisation styles.</li> <li>• Core logging is qualitative with visual estimates of</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>photography.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i></li> </ul>	<p>mineralisation made for later comparison with assay results.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All core was logged and photographed.</li> </ul>
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i></li> <li>• <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i></li> <li>• <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></li> <li>• <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></li> <li>• <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Samples were collected by cutting the core in half along its length and sampling intervals ranging from 15-20cm in length.</li> <li>• The sample sizes are appropriate for the geological materials being sampled.</li> </ul>
Quality of assay data and laboratory tests	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i></li> <li>• <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i></li> <li>• <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Assaying of the drill samples is by standard industry practice.</li> <li>• The samples are sorted and dried. The whole sample is crushed then split by riffle splitter to obtain a representative sub-sample which is then pulverized in a vibrating pulveriser.</li> <li>• A portion of the pulverized sample is then digested and refluxed using a four acid digest (Hydrofluoric, Nitric, Hydrochloric and Perchloric) which approximates a total digest for most elements. Some refractory minerals are not completely dissolved.</li> <li>• Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectroscopy (ICP-MS) is used to measure Ag, Al, As, Ba, Be, Bi, Ca, Cd, Ce, Co, Cr, Cs, Cu, Fe, Ga, Ge, Hf, In, La, Li, Mg, Mn, Mo, Na, Nb, Ni, P, Pb, Rb, Re, S, Sb, Sc, Se, Sn, Sr, Ta, Te, Th, Ti, Tl, U, V, W, Y, Zn, and Zr.</li> <li>• Data from the laboratory's internal quality procedures (standards, repeats and blanks) and AusQuest (standards, repeats and blanks) are reviewed to check data quality.</li> <li>• Assays are provided by Intertek Genalysis of 15 Davison St, Maddington, WA which is a certified laboratory for mineral analyses. Analytical data is transferred to the company via email and by hard copy.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i></li> <li>• <i>The use of twinned holes.</i></li> <li>• <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i></li> <li>• <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• N/A for this report – No significant intersections reported. Drilling was reconnaissance in nature.</li> </ul>
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i></li> <li>• <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i></li> <li>• <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drill hole collars including elevation are located by hand held GPS to an accuracy of approximately 5m.</li> <li>• Down hole surveys are carried out every 30m down hole, and at the end of the hole.</li> <li>• All surface location data are in GDA 94 datum, zone 51S.</li> </ul>
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i></li> <li>• <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The two diamond drill-holes were spaced 277m apart as an initial test of the prospectivity of the target areas. No systematic drilling of targets has been undertaken.</li> </ul>
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i></li> <li>• <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Any bias due to the orientation of the drilling is unknown at this early stage of exploration.</li> </ul>
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Samples are collected into securely tied bags and placed into cable-tied plastic bags for transport to the laboratory. Each sample batch has a sample submission sheet that lists the sample numbers and the work required to be done on each sample.</li> <li>• Samples were transported to the laboratory by Ausquest personnel.</li> <li>• Sample pulps (after assay) are held by the laboratory and returned to the company after 90 days.</li> </ul>
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No reviews or audits of the sampling techniques or data have been carried out to date.</li> </ul>

## Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<b>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i></li> <li>• <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Jimberlana Prospect is centered at 6426000N and 248900E (GDA94 Zone 51), approximately 200 km south west of Kalgoorlie in Western Australia.</li> <li>• Tenement holdings include one granted Exploration Licence (E63/1742).</li> <li>• Aboriginal heritage surveys are routinely completed ahead of ground disturbing activities.</li> </ul>
<b>Exploration done by other parties</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Only limited work has previously been completed in the company's area of interest. Very broad spaced shallow reconnaissance RAB and RC drilling comprising 200m spaced drill holes along 4km spaced traverses was completed during the 1990s to test for lateritic nickel potential.</li> </ul>
<b>Geology</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The exploration model is based upon copper and nickel sulphides hosted in mafic and ultramafic rocks of the Jimberlana Dyke.</li> </ul>
<b>Drill hole Information</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li>○ <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i></li> <li>○ <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i></li> <li>○ <i>down hole length and interception depth</i></li> <li>○ <i>hole length.</i></li> </ul> </li> <li>• <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All relevant drill hole data and information are tabulated below.</li> </ul>
<b>Data aggregation methods</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i></li> <li>• <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• N/A for this report – No aggregation techniques have been used on the data.</li> </ul>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i></li> </ul>	
<i>Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> <li><i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i></li> <li><i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (eg 'down hole length, true width not known').</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A for this report – no significant assay results obtained.</li> </ul>
<i>Diagrams</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A for this report – no significant assay results obtained.</li> </ul>
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A for this report – no significant assay results obtained.</li> </ul>
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>N/A for this report – no significant assay results obtained.</li> </ul>
<i>Further work</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>The nature and scale of planned further work (eg tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></li> <li><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i></li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No further drilling is planned at this stage.</li> </ul>

Hole No	Easting	Northing	RL	Azimuth	Inc	Total Depth
18JBDD001	253420	6427530	300	-70	-70	306.5
18JBDD002	253633	6427362	300	-70	-70	412.1